1 2	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMA JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500) john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com	N LLP		
	JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 20913	4)		
3	jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com FUSAE NARA (<i>pro hac vice</i>)			
4	fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 19	1479)		
5	andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com 50 Fremont Street	1479)		
6	San Francisco, CA 94105			
7	Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200			
8 9	Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION			
10	Similar Ezzermennes com emilien			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
14				
15	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI MDL No. 1827		
16				
17	This Document Relates To:	STIPULATION AND [P ROPOSED]		
18	Case No. 3:11-cv-711 SI	ORDER EXTENDING SHARP'S TIME TO ANSWER AMENDED		
19	STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through,	COMPLAINT		
20	ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney General,			
21	Plaintiff,			
22	V.			
23	AU Optronics Corporation, <i>et al.</i> ,			
24				
25	Defendants.			
26				
27				
28				

1	WHEREAS plaintiff State of New York filed an Amended Complaint in the above-		
2	captioned case against Defendants AU Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation		
3	America, Inc., Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.,		
4	CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic Devices		
5	(USA), Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Display America, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co.,		
6	Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Sharp		
7	Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Matsushita		
8	Display Technology Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba		
9	America Electronic Components, Inc. on March 15, 2011, Dkt. no. 2556;		
10	WHEREAS the Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to		
11	dismiss the Amended Complaint on August 9, 2011;		
12	WHEREAS Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation (together,		
13	"Sharp") desire a reasonable amount of time to answer the Amended Complaint;		
14	WHEREAS the Court previously entered an Order extending the time to answer the		
15	Amended Complaint on August 25, 2011, as stipulated by Plaintiff and Sharp; and		
16	WHEREAS the requested time modification will not affect any other deadline in		
17	this case.		
18	THEREFORE, the State of New York and Sharp hereby agree that Sharp's deadline		
19	to answer to the Amended Complaint shall be October 25, 2011.		
20	Dated: September 13, 2011		
21	ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN Attorney General of the State of New York		
22	By: /s/ Richard L. Schwartz		
23	Richard L. Schwartz		
24	Acting Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau Amy McFarlane		
25	Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff 120 Broadway, 26th Floor		
26	New York, New York 10271		
27	(212) 416-8282 (Phone) (212) 416-6015 (Facsimile)		
28	Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York		

Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3574 Filed09/14/11 Page3 of 3

1	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP		
2	JOHN M. GRENFELL JACOB R. SORENSEN		
3	FUSAE NARA ANDREW D. LANPHERE		
4	50 Fremont Street		
5	San Francisco, CA 94105		
6	By:/s/ John M. Grenfell		
7	John M. Grenfell		
8	Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION		
9	and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION		
10	ATTESTATION : Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that the		
11	concurrence of the other signatory hereto has been obtained.		
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14	Dated: 9/13/11 Honorable Susan Illston		
15	Honorable Susan Histon		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			